	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/481,207	PHILLIPS, JEFFREY OWEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jane T. Fan	1625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
(1) <u>Jane T. Fan</u> .	(3) <u>Dr. sharpe</u> .	
(2) <u>Mahoney</u> .	(4) <u>Dr. Phillips</u> .	
Date of Interview:		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: all		
Identification of prior art discussed: pat'4,786,505, data	base IPA of STN (JP 0519	4225) ,database WPIDS of STN .
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reache	d. g)□ was not reached	h)
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation She</u>		ed to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amendments	
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked). 	a separate record of the sub	stance of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIBUACTION has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN OF STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVITE OF	EW. (See MPEP Section 7'NE MONTH FROM THIS IN	13.04). If a reply to the last Office ITERVIEW DATE TO FILE A
	÷	
	PRI	JANE FAN MARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200
Evaminar Nota: Vou must sign this form unless it is an		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	s signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Solid composition having buffer component sufficient to prevent or inhibit acid degrdation of PPI by gastric acid sufficient to achieve bioavailability of the drug. Applicants will study the references to see the amount of buffer in the art references to be distinctly differentiate from the claims. Applicants will calculate and tabulate and compare the art amount of buffer and the claims'. 2. Claims will contain a Markush expression of PPI consisting of what is in claim 6 as originally filed except three compounds to be deleted. 3. Liquid composition has two new art references. (Trudeau and Quercia). Composition should have criticality of buffer amount with respect to PPI and the souce of PPI. The souce of PPI in the claim is not from enteric-coated forms.